

Criterion for Discourse-in-your-field paper	Possible 10 points	Weight
Does the paper have the appropriate report apparatus (cover letter, title page, table of contents, etc.); is pagination correct?		X 1
Does the abstract summarize sections of the report briefly?		X 1
Does the introduction state the purpose of the research clearly? Does the introduction have a literature review that shows a familiarity with discourse studies theory (genre, audience, forum, discourse communities)?		X 3
Does the report follow the prescribed empirical research report pattern?		X 1
Are the methods focused on the research process, and do they follow a narrative sequence?		X 1
Does the Results and Discussion section show that the writer created a new context of presentation based on powers of classification?		X 4
Does the analysis of a document have an analysis of the rhetorical situation (exigence, audience, purpose, function) and does it explore the rhetorical strategies apparent in the document (ethos, logos, pathos, visual, verbal)?		X 2.5
Do the conclusions show that the researcher learned anything significant?		X 1
Are there internal citations in the document and does the document have the required APA formatted references?		X 1
Does the report have the document analyzed in the appendix?		X 0.5
Does the document design work—contrast between headings and text, pagination, visual support?		X 1
Is the document edited to professional standards (vocabulary, sentence structure, punctuation, grammar, expression)?		X 3